

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,361	09/25/2003	James Edward Angelo	50103-564 3367	
7590 08/09/2004		EXAMINER		
McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W.			RESAN, STEVAN A	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1773	
		DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			n/i			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/669,361	ANGELO ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Stevan A. Resan	1773			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-18 and 21-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11,12,15-18,21,22 and 25-28 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 13,14,23 and 24 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10)  The drawing(s) filed on 25 September 2003 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		n□	(770.440)			
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	iew (PTO-948) 49 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	r (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/669,361

Art Unit: 1773

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11,12,15-18,21,22,25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chen et al US 6020045 taken in view of Arita et al US 5976714 and Goto US 6287663.

Chen discloses a magnetic recording medium, comprising: a non magnetic substrate including at least one major surface having a contact start/stop or landing zone and a data zone. The substrate surface in the CSS zone or landing zone comprising a pattern of texture. The limitation "embossed" is considered a process limitation. Process limitations are give no weight in article claims unless they can be shown to produce a patentable article. The texture is produced by irradiation of a pulsed laser onto a substrate and the shape and frequency is optimized. See Col 2 lines 49-61; Col 5 lines 50-53. While Chen expresses no preference for recesses over protrusions the prior art recognizes their equivalence as texture produced by pulsed laser irradiation. See Arita et al Fig 4(a) Col 3 lines 31-34 and Goto Figure 2.

Substitution of equivalents requires no express motovation as long as the prior art recognizes the equivalency.

In re Fount 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982); In re Siebentritt 152 USPQ 618 (CCPA 1967): Graver Tank & Mfg. Co. Inc. v. Linde Air Products Co. 85 USPQ 328 (USSC 1950).

Art Unit: 1773

Since Chen teaches the optimization of texture in each zone, therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the texture to optimize performance with a given head design. The limitations of claims 12,15-18,22,25-28 are found in the examples of the cited references and are members of Markush Groups of equivalent functional materials.

- 3. Claims 13,14,23,24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stevan A. Resan whose telephone number is 571-272-1513. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Thurs from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Thibodeau, can be reached at 571-272-1516. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

STEVAN A. RESAN PRIMARY EXAMINER